Mónica Balderrama (SBN 196424) 1 MBalderrama@InitiativeLegal.com G. Arthur Meneses (SBN 105260) 2 AMeneses@InitiativeLegal.com Initiative Legal Group APC 1800 Century Park East, Mezzanine Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 3 4 (310) 861-9051 Facsimile: 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Eugene Chavez 6 7 8 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EUGENE CHAVEZ, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, and as an aggrieved employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"),

Plaintiff,

VS.

FEDEX FREIGHT, INC., an Arkansas corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 5:12-cv-01496-DSF (FFMx)

NOTICE RE COMPLIANCE WITH UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOCAL RULE 7-3 REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: July 22, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m.

Ctrm: 840

Judge: Hon. Dale S. Fischer

Complaint Filed: August 6, 2012

Trial Date: None

1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, MEZZANINE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE HONORABLE COURT,	ALL PARTIES,	AND THEIR
ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		

On June 24, 2013, Plaintiff filed an unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. (Docket No. 46.) Plaintiff inadvertently failed to provide a statement regarding compliance with Local Rule 7-3 of the Central District of California.

U.S.D.C. LOCAL RULE 7-3

Throughout May and June of 2013, during their negotiations of the Settlement, the parties' counsel conferred multiple times regarding the contemplated Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement and the timing of the Motion. Although the parties agree to the terms of the settlement and agree that preliminary approval should be granted, the Court is required to conduct its own independent review pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 23(e).

Further, Defendant's counsel was provided an opportunity to review and comment on the Motion for Preliminary Approval on June 17, 2013. Defendant does not oppose the Motion but instead supports it.

Accordingly, Plaintiff has complied, to the extent applicable, with Local Rule 7-3.

Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 25, 2013

Initiative Legal Group APC

By: Mónica Balderrama

Attorneys for Plaintiff Eugene Chavez

G. Arthur Meneses